R3.3 (GaPSC 3.5) Content Knowledge and Competency at Completion

Alignment to National Standards: This evidence set, entitled *Content Knowledge and Competency Upon* Completion, re-presents evidence for the CAEP Standard 3 reviewer from Standard One Evidence Set: GACE, Standard One Evidence Set: edTPA, Standard One Evidence Set: Lesson Plans Data, and Standard One Evidence Set: CAPS Data, all of which provide evidence to support:

GaPSC Standard 3.5: Before the provider recommends any completing candidate for licensure or certification, it documents that the candidate has reached a **high standard for content knowledge** in the fields where certification is sought and can teach effectively with **positive impacts on P-12 student learning and development.**

GaPSC 3.4 The provider creates criteria for program progression and monitors candidates' advancement from admissions through completion. All candidates demonstrate the ability to teach to college- and career-ready standards. Providers present **multiple forms of evidence to indicate candidates' developing content knowledge, pedagogical content knowledge, pedagogical skills, and the integration of technology in all these domains.**

Standard 3: Candidate Recruitment, Progression, and Support The provider demonstrates the quality of candidates is a continuous and purposeful focus from recruitment through completion. The provider demonstrates that development of candidate quality is the goal of educator preparation and that the EPP provides supports services (such as advising, remediation, and mentoring) in all phases of the program so candidates will be successful.

R3.3 Competency at completion

The provider ensures candidates possess academic **competency to teach effectively with positive impacts on diverse P-12 student learning and development through the application of content knowledge, foundational pedagogical skills, and technology integration in the field(s) of certification sought.** Multiple measures are provided, and data is disaggregated and analyzed based on race, ethnicity, and other categories relevant to the EPP's mission.

How is alignment assured: The Assistant Dean of Assessment and Accreditation, in consultation with Program/Discipline Chairs, aligns the evaluation measures and assessment tasks with CAEP, GaPSC, InTASC, and appropriate Technology Standards. The Assistant Dean of Assessment and Accreditation coordinates and maintains alignments and adherence to multiple Georgia state laws and policy regulations. All Standards have been maintained utilizing Excel Spreadsheets and Class Climate Survey by Scantron; however, maintenance will be transferred to a suite of digital assessment tools on Watermark – VIA beginning fall 2021. The Assistant Dean of Assessment and Accreditation will maintain a standards database so that alignments can accommodate updates to standards, program competencies, courses, or assessments.

R3.3 (GaPSC 3.5) Content Knowledge and Competency at Completion

Evidence Overview

Re-presentation of evidence from Standard One Evidence Set 1 GACE- Content Knowledge

Purpose of Assessment: The Georgia PSC requires specific content knowledge specialty examinations [GACE] to evaluate pre-service teachers' content knowledge as preparation for certification. Georgia Gwinnett College teacher candidates are encouraged to pass state-required GACE subject area exams related to program content prior to student teaching. This provides GGC with a 99-100% pass rate from 2018 to 2021. The GACE Categories/Sub-tests are analyzed from the various content areas to strengthen program coursework and candidate content knowledge.

Details of Assessment Administration: Georgia Gwinnett Colleges, School of Education requires all candidates in all programs leading to initial licensure to pass the GACE content area examination for program completion. Licensure Requirements are described within each program on page 20 in the EPP Handbook, along with Section 8 on Assessment and Evaluation of field and clinical experiences.

Evaluation Measure: Educational Testing Service (ETS) scores the GACE examinations. Georgia requires specific <u>passing scores</u> in each content knowledge specialty examination [GACE] as preparation for licensure/certification. GGC adheres to the state of Georgia requirements. Below are the pass rates for GGC licensure programs (See Table 1). These pass rates are based on first-time test opportunities for GGC Completers.

Table 1: GACE Pass Rates

Program 2018-2019	GACE Pass Rate
Bachelor of Science, Elementary Education (Grades K-6	N=83 100%
Bachelor of Science, Special Education	N=14 100%
Bachelor of Science, TCP English	N=4 100%
Bachelor of Science, TCP History	N=6 100%
Bachelor of Science, TCP Biology	N=3 100%
Bachelor of Science, TCP Math	N=3 100%
Bachelor of Science, TCP Chemistry	N=0

Program 2019-2020	GACE Pass Rate
Bachelor of Science, Elementary Education (Grades K-6	N=63 100
Bachelor of Science, Special Education	N=23 100%
Bachelor of Science, TCP English	N=5 100%
Bachelor of Science, TCP History	N=5 100%
Bachelor of Science, TCP Biology	N=9 100%
Bachelor of Science, TCP Math	N=2 84%
Bachelor of Science, TCP Chemistry	N=1 100%

Program 2020-2021	GACE Pass Rate
Bachelor of Science, Elementary Education (Grades K-6	N=60 100%
Bachelor of Science, Special Education	N=21 100%
Bachelor of Science, TCP English	N=4 100%
Bachelor of Science, TCP History	N=9 100%
Bachelor of Science, TCP Biology	N=4 100%
Bachelor of Science, TCP Math	N=7 50%
Bachelor of Science, TCP Chemistry	N=0

R3.3 (GaPSC 3.5) Content Knowledge and Competency at Completion

How is the evaluation used to measure candidate progress: The GACE Content Knowledge tests provide credible evidence of whether teacher candidates have learned fundamental concepts of the content and relationships among those concepts that they are required to teach.

All students must have a score of 220 or above in each content area. For more information on the GACE scores, click this link: https://www.gace.ets.org/program providers/scores/interpret.

Candidates seeking help related to any GACE content knowledge examination can obtain support from the Dean's office in the School of Education and the GGC Academic Enhancement Center

Re-presentation of evidence from Standard One Evidence Set One Impact on Student Learning,

Purpose of Assessment: All programs underwent the initial review by the Georgia PSC. **Assessment for Instruction** administered concurrently with a clinical placement measured by the CAPS and CDPA, GACE, and the EdTPA. The EdTPA demonstrates teacher candidate knowledge and understanding of:

- 1) the selection and development of an assessment;
- preparing learners for assessment guiding prompts, including such for selected focus students and as a means of demonstrating knowledge of the purpose and characteristics of different kinds of assessments and appropriate accommodations for learners with disabilities and language needs;
- administering and analyzing the assessment data for student learning including analysis of data for selected focus students including guiding learners in the examination of their own thinking and learning;
- 4) reflecting on the assessment including identified focus students; and
- 5) the use of formal and informal assessment strategies to plan, evaluate and strengthen instruction that promotes continuous intellectual, social, emotional, and physical development of each student.

The purpose of the *CAPS presented in this Evidence Set*, related to Impact on Student Learning, is to provide credible evidence that each candidate can affect student pre- to post-learning gains in their student teaching. The purpose of the *CDPA presented in this Compendium*, related to Impact on Student Learning, is to provide credible evidence that each candidate possesses the dispositions required to be an effective teacher. See Table 2 to review the alignment of capstone evaluations and the impact on student learning. See Table 3 for the details of each assessment and when the assessment is given to students. See Table 4 for details on how each assessment measures candidates' progress.

Table 2: Alignment of Evaluations specific to Impact on Student Learning

Student Teaching 2018-2019					
Program	Observation of CDPA	CAPS 1-10 Observation Teaching Event	GACE (pass rate) Test 1/Test 2	EdTPA	GPA
Bachelor of Science, Elementary Education (Grades K- 6)	3.46	3.4	98/98	3.17	3.54
Bachelor of Science, Special Education	3.5	3.07	100/100	3.16	3.34
Bachelor of Science, TCP English	3.36	3.27	100/100	3.13	3.73
Bachelor of Science, TCP History	3.22	3.1	100/100	3.08	3.3
Bachelor of Science, TCP Biology	3.9	3.44	100/100	3.19	3.8
Bachelor of Science, TCP Math	3.88	3.23	100/100	3.02	3.1

	Student Teaching 2019-2020					
Program	Observation of CDPA	Observation Teaching Event CAPS 1-10	- (-Δ(F		GPA	
Bachelor of Science, Elementary Education (Grades K- 6)	3.5	3.31	97/95	3.04	3.5	
Bachelor of Science, Special Education	3.26	3.15	100/100	3.17	3.35	
Bachelor of Science, TCP English	3.13	3.14	100/100	3.2	3.58	
Bachelor of Science, TCP History	3.18	3.1	100/100	3.03	3.36	
Bachelor of Science, TCP Biology	3.49	3.44	100/100	3.01	3.24	
Bachelor of Science, TCP Math	3.44	3.23	100/67	2.80	3.5	
Bachelor of Science, TCP Chemistry	4.00	3.8	100/100	3.13	3	

Student Teaching 2020-2021					
Program	Observation of CDPA	Observation Teaching Event CAPS 1-10	(2A) F		GPA
Bachelor of Science, Elementary Education (Grades K- 6)	3.52	3.42	100/70	2.87	3.55
Bachelor of Science, Special Education	3.43	3.29	100/100	2.82	3.38
Bachelor of Science, TCP English	3.15	2.93	100/100	3.00	3.6
Bachelor of Science, TCP History	3.23	3.31	100/100	2.92	3.46
Bachelor of Science, TCP Biology	3.15	3	100/100	2.68	3.43
Bachelor of Science, TCP Math	<i>3.75</i>	3.42	67/33	2.40	3.33
Bachelor of Science, TCP Chemistry	N=0				

Table 3: Details of Assessment Administration

Evaluation	Details	Point in all Teacher
		Preparation Programs
Georgia Assessment for the Certification of Educators	The Georgia Assessment for the Certification of Educators (GACE) exams are content assessments required for professional certification by the Georgia Professional Standards Commission. Each assessment consists of two subtests. Passing scores on both subtests are required to pass the assessment. Candidates generally complete these assessments during their final semester in the teacher education program. While passing scores are not required for graduation from Georgia Gwinnett College, they are required for an institutional recommendation for a Georgia teaching certificate.	Semesters 3 & 4
EdTPA	canstone that allows candidates to integrate what they	Final Semester of Year-Long Student Teaching
Candidate Dispositions Performance Assessment Rubric CDPA	The <u>Candidate Dispositions Performance Assessment</u> (CDPA) rubric is used by field and clinical faculty supervisors and mentor teachers to assess the professional dispositions exhibited by teacher candidates. The Candidate Dispositions Performance Assessment Rubric includes candidates' personal, interpersonal, professional, instructional, and sociocultural attitudes and behaviors. Data are collected for every course with embedded field experiences and clinical experiences at the 3000 and 4000 level from field and clinical faculty supervisors and mentor teachers. Scores on this instrument obtained each semester are aggregated and used for program and unit assessment purposes. Data for individual teacher candidates are reviewed at	Semesters 1-4

	transition points to determine readiness for progression.	
Candidate Assessment of Performance Standards Rubric CAPS	The <u>Candidate Assessment of Performance Standards</u> (CAPS) assessment rubric is used by field and clinical faculty supervisors and mentor teachers to assess the classroom teaching performance of teacher candidates during field and clinical experiences. It is used in all courses in which field or clinical experiences are embedded. Since these experiences occur throughout the educator preparation program, the scoring of this instrument has been designed so that candidates can demonstrate growth in teaching skills over time. Scores on this instrument obtained each semester are aggregated and used for program and unit assessment purposes. Data for individual students are reviewed at transition points to determine readiness for progression.	Semesters 1-4

Table 4- Measurement of Progress:

Evaluation	Measurement of Progress
Georgia Assessment for the Certification of Educators GACE	Expected Level of Performance: A passing score is 220 at the induction level and 250 at the professional level on GACE I and GACE II content exams. How are Results Used: Candidates must pass GACE Content Area Assessments to become certified. The EPP will use the results for continuous program improvement.
EdTPA	Expected Level of Performance: Pass edTPA to graduate. For ELED, that is a score of 45/72, and SPED and TCP are 38/60. How are Results Used: Teacher candidates must pass edTPA to become certified. Results can inform employers as to strengths and opportunities for growth for new teachers during the induction phase. The EPP will use the results for continuous program improvement.
Candidate Dispositions Performance Assessment Rubric CDPA	Expected Level of Performance: Semesters 1 & 2 Candidates must score satisfactory ratings on the Candidate Dispositions Performance Assessment Rubric as follows: Candidates must score at three or above on the following items to complete the course and move on to their final semester/student teaching: One: Integrity

R3.3 (GaPSC 3.5) Content Knowledge and Competency at Completion

Two: Interaction with students

Six: Attendance

Seven: Dependability and reliability Eleven: Teachability and Accountability

Thirteen: Cultural Sensitivity

Fifteen: Fairness

Sixteen: Use of Technology Eighteen: Self Control

Nineteen: Professional Appearance Twenty-one: Professional Judgement Twenty-two: Passion for teaching Twenty-three: Commitment to school

Candidates who do not meet the criteria will be placed in a candidate support plan.

Semester 3

To complete the course and graduate, candidates must meet the minimum performance requirements (earn at or above a "C"). Candidates can score no more than two items at level 1. **Any "Level 1" score will result in a supervisor/administrator conference and/or additional consequences. Candidates who do not meet the criteria will be placed in a candidate support plan.

Semester 4

Candidates must meet the minimum performance requirements (earn at or above a "C") to complete the course and graduate without being placed on a candidate support plan. Candidates can score no more than two items at level 1. **Any "Level 1" score will result in a supervisor/administrator conference and/or additional consequences.

How are Results Used:

The results are used to mentor candidates and support their progression in the program. The EPP will use the results for continuous program improvement.

Expected Level of Performance:

Semester 1

Candidates are scored on **six of the ten standards of CAPS**: Professional Knowledge, Instructional Planning, Instructional Strategies, Positive Learning Environment, Professionalism, and

Candidate
Assessment of
Performance
Standards Rubric
CAPS

Communication. To complete the course, candidates must meet the minimum performance requirements (earn at or above a "C"). Candidates can score no more than four items at level 2 and no more than 1 item at level 1 to progress. Candidates who do not meet the criteria will be placed in a candidate support plan.

Semester 2

Candidates are scored on **seven of the ten standards of CAPS**: Professional Knowledge, Instructional Planning, Instructional Strategies, Positive Learning Environment, Academically Challenging Environment, Professionalism, and Communication. To complete the course, candidates must meet the minimum

R3.3 (GaPSC 3.5) Content Knowledge and Competency at Completion

performance requirements (earn at or above a "C"). Candidates can score no more than four items at level 2 and no more than 1 item at level 1 to complete the course and move on to their final semester/student teaching. Candidates who do not meet the criteria will be placed in a candidate support plan.

Semester 3

Candidates are scored on **all ten standards of CAPS**: Professional Knowledge, Instructional Planning, Instructional Strategies, Differentiated Instruction, Assessment Strategies, Assessment Uses, Positive Learning Environment, Academically Challenging Environment, Professionalism, and Communication. To complete the course and graduate, candidates must meet the minimum performance requirements (earn at or above a "C"). Candidates can score no more than four items at level 2 and no more than one item at level 1 in completing the course. **Any "Level 1" score will result in supervisor/administrator conference and/or additional consequences. Candidates who do not meet the criteria will be placed in a candidate support plan.

Semester 4

Candidates are scored on **all ten standards of CAPS**: Professional Knowledge, Instructional Planning, Instructional Strategies, Differentiated Instruction, Assessment Strategies, Assessment Uses, Positive Learning Environment, Academically Challenging Environment, Professionalism, and Communication. To complete the course and graduate, candidates must meet the minimum performance requirements (earn at or above a "C"). Candidates can score no more than three items at level 2 and no items at level 1 to progress. **Any "Level 1" score will result in supervisor/administrator conference and/or additional consequences.

How are Results Used:

The results are used to mentor candidates and support their progression in the program regarding their teaching practices. The EPP uses the results to make changes to field-based instruction and requirements and provide support for teacher candidates.

Evaluation Instruments:

Candidate Dispositions Performance Assessment (CDPA) Rubric Adopted fall 2018

Rated Item(s)	1	2	3	4
·	Unacceptable	Needs Development	Proficient	Exemplary
Integrity - Teacher candidate abides by professional codes of ethics for teaching and demonstrates ethical conduct and integrity in his/her actions. S/he is a person of good reputable character. S/he always maintains confidentiality. SOE Outcome: 1.1 CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3 InTASC Standard: 9 IEE Goal: 6	Teacher candidate behaves in such a way that initial certification would be denied or suspended, such as failure to report potential child abuse, inappropriate conduct with students, use of alcohol on school premises (see state's code of ethics for relevant state(s) for complete list).	Teacher candidate behaves in such a way to warrant a reprimand, warning, or monitoring.	Teacher candidate abides by professional codes of ethics of the state(s) in which he/she plans to teach and demonstrates ethical conduct and integrity in his/her actions. S/he is a person of good reputable character. S/he always maintains confidentiality.	Teacher candidate abides by professional codes of ethics of the state(s) in which he/she plans to teach and demonstrates ethical conduct and integrity in his/her actions. S/he is a person of reputable character. S/he always maintains confidentiality, and candidate exemplifies behavior that represents the education profession with dignity and integrity.

Rated Item(s)	1	2	3	4
	Unacceptable	Needs Development	Proficient	Exemplary
Interaction with	Teacher candidate	Teacher	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate
Students - Teacher	interacts negatively	candidate may	interacts positively and	interacts positively
candidate interacts	with students, such	struggle with	maintains appropriate	and maintains
positively and maintains	as yelling or	managing a class	relationships with	appropriate and caring
appropriate relationships	screaming at a	of students and	students.	relationships with
with students.	student and/or	possibly resort to		students and has good
*Reference your state's	belittling students.	yelling at the		rapport with all
code of ethics for		class. Or, s/he		students.
teaching if clarification		fails to maintain		
on "appropriate		an appropriate		
relationships with		relationship with		
students" is needed.		students, such as		
		taking on a		
CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3		"friend role"		
InTASC Standard: 9		without		
		maintaining a		
		sense of		
		authority.		
Attitude & Demeanor -	Teacher candidate is	Teacher	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate
Teacher candidate	negative about the	candidate is	maintains a positive	maintains a positive
maintains a positive	school,	professional in	attitude and demeanor.	attitude and
attitude and demeanor.	administration,	attitude and	S/he is flexible,	demeanor and
S/he is flexible,	and/or students.	demeanor, but	professional, and	encourages others to
professional, and	S/he is resistant to	does not exhibit	enthusiastic.	do so as well. S/he is
enthusiastic.	changes, causing	enthusiasm.		flexible, professional,
	complications by	Candidate may		and enthusiastic.
CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3	word or actions. S/he	seem apathetic		
	demonstrates an	or speak freely of		

Rated Item(s)	1	2	3	4
	Unacceptable	Needs	Proficient	Exemplary
		Development		
	unprofessional	discontent with		
	attitude.	the school,		
		administration,		
		and/or students.		
Communication -	Teacher candidate	Teacher	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate
Teacher candidate	communicates	candidate fails to	communicates	communicates
communicates	unprofessionally or	contact parents	effectively and	effectively and
effectively and	without tact, or does	or guardians for	professionally in all	professionally in all
professionally in all	not communicate at	needed	domains (verbal,	domains (verbal,
domains (verbal,	all.	intervention or	nonverbal, written,	nonverbal, written,
nonverbal, written,	Candidate does not	only	technologically) and with	technologically) and
technologically) and with	use accepted	communicates	tact, using accepted	with tact, using
tact.	conventions or	with parents or	conventions and	accepted conventions
	vocabulary when	guardians solely	vocabulary. Candidate	and vocabulary.
SOE Outcome: 4.2	communicating.	about students'	may choose to use text	Candidate may choose
CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3		poor	messaging app or a	to use text messaging
InTASC Standard: 8		performance or	website to communicate	app or a website to
IEE Goals: 1, 5		behavior.	with parents, but does so	communicate with
		Candidate may	with weekly or bi-weekly	parents, but does so
		use technology	updates. Candidate is	with weekly or bi-
		for	prompt with	weekly updates.
		communication	communicating with	Candidate is prompt
		but uses it	parents or guardians	with communicating
		ineffectively (e.g.	regarding students' good	with parents or
		teacher website	performance or	guardians regarding
		is not updated	behavior.	students' performance
		regularly).		or behavior, both for
		Candidate may		areas needing

Rated Item(s)	1	2	3	4
	Unacceptable	Needs	Proficient	Exemplary
		Development		
		not speak clearly		improvement and
		or loudly, or may		areas of progress or
		be inconsistent		excellence. S/he
		with use of		communicates with a
		accepted		personal tone and
		conventions and		care when interacting
		vocabulary.		with parents or
				guardians and
				students.

Rated Item(s)	1	2	3	4
	Unacceptable	Needs Development	Proficient	Exemplary
High Expectations for All Students - Teacher candidate is committed to student learning and believes all students can learn. S/he holds high expectations for all students. SOE Outcome: 1.2 CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3 InTASC Standard: 2 IEE Goal: 4	Teacher candidate has low expectations for some students, demonstrated in ways such as attitude toward students, lowering standards for some students, spending less time assisting lower achieving students, etc.	Teacher candidate expresses a belief that all students can learn but limits teaching style primarily to one method.	Teacher candidate is committed to student learning and believes all students can learn. S/he holds high expectations for all students, demonstrated by effort to plan and provide instruction to reach a variety of learners.	Teacher candidate is committed to student learning and believes all students can learn. S/he holds high expectations for all students and tailors learning opportunities to capitalize on all students' academic abilities, cultural experiences, and backgrounds.
Attendance/Punctuality Teacher candidate is always present and on time to work/school, meetings, and events. CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3	Teacher candidate is tardy to school or class more than twice in a semester; is absent without an excuse or permission; exceeds the number of allowable absences; and/or is tardy to or does not attend school meetings.	Teacher candidate is tardy to school/class no more than twice in a semester and/or only attends or is tardy to required meetings.	Teacher candidate is always present and on time to work/school, meetings, and events.	Teacher candidate is always present and on time to work/school, meetings, and events. Candidate also attends nonmandatory supporting activities or events, such as school dances or sporting events.

Dependability & Reliability-	Teacher candidate	Teacher	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate
Teacher candidate demonstrates consistency in tasks and responsibilities; s/he is considered to be reliable and dependable by peers, professors, and mentor	leaves tasks unfinished; s/he has developed a reputation of being unreliable.	candidate is inconsistent with completion of tasks and responsibilities.	demonstrates consistency and follow-through in tasks and responsibilities; s/he is considered to be	demonstrates consistency and follow-through in tasks and responsibilities; s/he is regarded as an
teachers. CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3		·	reliable and dependable by peers, professors, and mentor teachers.	example of reliability and dependability in all dealings with peers and the entire school community.

Rated Item(s)	1	2	3	4
	Unacceptable	Needs Development	Proficient	Exemplary
Interaction with Adults - Teacher candidate interacts positively and maintains appropriate and professional relationships with adults (includes parents, colleagues, etc.). CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3 InTASC Standard: 10	Teacher candidate interacts in an unacceptable manner with adults; for example, s/he might use vulgar or inappropriate language in a professional setting, yell at or harass another adult, or have inappropriate physical contact with	Teacher candidate appears uncomfortable around parents, colleagues, etc. and interacts at a minimal level.	Teacher candidate interacts positively and maintains appropriate and professional relationships with adults (includes parents, colleagues etc.).	Teacher candidate interacts positively and maintains appropriate and professional relationships with adults (includes parents, colleagues, etc.). S/he seeks out opportunities to foster a positive and professional relationship with adults within the school community.
Collaboration - Teacher candidate works collaboratively with colleagues and is a valuable member to the team. S/he is cooperative and a team player who is willing to assist and accept responsibilities. SOE Outcome: 4.2 CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3 InTASC Standard: 10	another adult. Teacher candidate is unwilling to work with other candidates, mentor teacher(s), or school personnel. Or, s/he is aggressive toward other candidates.	Teacher candidate has difficulty working collaboratively. Issues of gossip, pettiness, or other poor team- member characteristics may be present. S/he inconsistently or may not carry his/her weight on	Teacher candidate works well with other candidates, mentor(s), or school personnel. S/he is a responsible and cooperative team member. S/he carries his/her weight on collaborative projects/work.	Teacher candidate works well with other candidates and mentor(s). S/he is a responsible and cooperative team member and actively contributes to an atmosphere of collegiality. S/he initiates collaboration, carries his/her weight on collaborative projects/work, and is willing to take on a

IEE Goal: 1, 5		collaborative projects/work.		reasonable amount of responsibility to help the team accomplish its goals.
Organization & Preparedness - Teacher candidate organizes classroom to optimize learning and provides academically challenging learning environment. S/he is well-prepared for teaching. SOE Outcome: 5.1 CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3 InTASC Standard: 3	Teacher candidate does not have lessons planned prior to teaching. Or, candidate's organization of the lesson or classroom is chaotic in such a way that impedes student learning and/or leads to boredom.	Teacher candidate has lessons loosely planned. Structure of lesson, classroom management, and/or classroom organization may lead to a loss of instructional time or boredom. Candidate may not have all supplies needed for lesson.	Teacher candidate organizes classroom to optimize learning. S/he is well- prepared for teaching and always has lessons planned beforehand. S/he always has needed supplies for activities and instruction, and candidate plans for an academically challenging learning environment.	Teacher candidate organizes classroom to optimize learning. S/he is well- prepared for teaching and always has lessons planned beforehand. S/he always has needed supplies for activities and instruction, and organizes and implements lessons that ensure learning opportunities provide students with an academically challenging and engaging learning environment.

Rated Item(s)	1	2	3	4
	Unacceptable	Needs Development	Proficient	Exemplary
Teachability and Adaptability - Teacher candidate demonstrates a willingness to learn and/or grow professionally and has a commitment to improving his/her practice. S/he adapts to change and accepts constructive criticism and feedback well. SOE Outcome: 5.1 CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3 InTASC Standards: 9, 10	Teacher candidate does not acknowledge or accept feedback from professors or mentor(s) and/or is rude upon receiving constructive criticism. And/or, s/he resists changes implemented by school administration or mentor and is unwilling to change/grow as a professional.	Teacher candidate has difficulty in receiving feedback from professors or mentor(s). And/or, s/he is not openly receptive of changes implemented by school administration or mentor.	Teacher candidate demonstrates a willingness to learn and/or grow professionally and has a commitment to improving his/her practice. S/he is open to consulting relevant literature and reflects upon his/her own practice. S/he adapts to change and accepts constructive criticism and feedback well.	Teacher candidate maintains a positive and teachable attitude that is contagious and impacts classmates and colleagues in a way that boosts morale. S/he consults relevant literature, reflects upon his/her own practice, and shares what he/she learns with peers and/or mentor. S/he accepts constructive criticism and feedback well, considers feedback thoughtfully and critically, and modifies practice with feedback in mind.

		 		
Content Knowledge -	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate is	Teacher candidate stays
Teacher candidate	uses outdated	uses outdated	proficient in content	current in field and
stays current in field	teaching materials or	teaching materials	knowledge, stays	understands potential
and understands	biased materials	or biased	current in field and	biases within his/her
potential biases within	intentionally. S/he is	materials	understands	content areas,
his/her content areas.	uncompromising	unintentionally,	potential biases	demonstrated by
S/he values critical	about his/her opinion	without	within his/her	intentional curriculum
thinking.	about or selections/	questioning	content area,	material selection and
	choices of the	and/or expressing	expressed in the	robust lessons that
SOE Outcome: 2.1	content.	concern. S/he fails	consistent selection	challenge students to
CAEP Standards: 1.1,		to appreciate that	of current and	think critically about the
3.3		knowledge is	unbiased materials.	content. S/he values
InTASC Standard: 4		dynamic and	S/he values critical	critical thinking, and is,
		shows little	thinking.	thus, eclectic in practice.
		evidence of		
		critical thinking.		
Cultural Sensitivity -	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate shows	Teacher candidate creates
Teacher candidate shows	discriminates against	interacts more frequently	respect for and an	an atmosphere that models
respect for and an	certain student(s); s/he	with students who identify	understanding of a	and teaches students to
understanding of a	makes	with or look/believe like	student's or other	appreciate and respect
student's or other person's	racial/religious/other slur	s/he; or gender inequity	person's diversity,	differences among people,
diversity, including respect	or demeaning joke(s);	might be present within	including respect of	including a respect for and
of differences in race,	s/he does not work with a	the classroom (e.g., boys	differences in race, class,	understanding of
class, gender, ability,	particular demographic of	may be called on more	gender, ability, culture,	differences in race, class,
culture, religion, and	students.	than girls and vice versa).	religion, and/or sexuality.	gender, ability, culture,
sexuality.				religion, and/or sexuality.
				,
SOE Outcome: 1.2				
CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3				
InTASC Standard: 2				
IEE Goal: 4				

			1	
Assessment - Teacher	Teacher candidate does	Teacher candidate uses	Teacher candidate uses	Teacher candidate uses
candidate uses	not make necessary	assessments that do not	assessments ethically,	assessments ethically,
assessments ethically,	accommodations on	align exactly with the	makes appropriate	makes appropriate
makes appropriate	assessments, or s/he	learning objectives, or uses	accommodations, and	accommodations, uses a
accommodations, and uses	uses unfair or unethical	inconsistent assessment	uses a variety of	variety of assessments,
a variety of assessments	assessment practices.	practices, or does not use	assessments, including	including formative
with his/her students.		multiple assessment	formative assessments,	assessments, with his/her
		measures. S/he struggles	with his/her students.	students, and follows school
SOE Outcomes: 3.1, 3.2, 3.3		with implementing	Candidate reports	policies for reporting
CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3		approved accommodations	assessment results to	grades. Candidate also uses
InTASC Standard: 6		for assessments. Candidate	students and	assessment results to help
IEE Goal: 8		does not always follow	parents/guardians based	students set goals for their
		school policies when	on school policy.	learning.
		reporting grades to		
		students and parents.		
			1	

		1		
Fairness - Teacher	Teacher candidate treats	Teacher candidate treats all	Teacher candidate makes	Teacher candidate makes
candidate makes fair	some student(s) unfairly	students equally without	fair decisions based on	fair decisions based on
decisions based on	based on stereotypes.	reference to available	data/evidence; s/he treats	data/evidence; s/he treats
data/evidence; s/he treats		data/evidence; and/or does	students fairly and	students fairly and
students fairly and		not provide equitable	equitably, providing	equitably, providing
equitably.		educational opportunities	equitable educational	equitable educational
		tailored to students' needs.	opportunities tailored to	opportunities tailored to
SOE Outcome: 1.1		Candidate assumes fair	students' needs.	students' needs. S/he is an
CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3		means equal.		advocate for equity and
InTASC Standard: 6				fairness within his/her
IEE Goal: 6				school, encouraging
				colleagues and students to
				treat all students fairly.
Use of Technology -	Teacher candidate uses	Teacher candidate uses cell	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate
Teacher candidate	technology in a way that	phone for calls or texting	understands and	understands and observes
understands and practices	violates school's policies;	during instructional time or	observes legal and	legal and ethical
legal and ethical boundaries	allows students to access	time with students.	ethical boundaries for	boundaries for technology.
for technology. S/he uses	non-lesson related		technology. S/he uses	S/he uses technology to
technology to enhance	websites; and/or teacher		technology to enhance	enhance student learning
student learning and	candidate hosts a social		student learning and	and communicate
communicates efficiently.	media forum that		communicate efficiently.	efficiently.
Misuse of cell phone and/or	violates the school's		Misuse of cell phone	Misuse of cell phone and/or
social media is not an issue	policy.		and/or social media is not	social media is not an issue
with the candidate.			an issue with the	with the candidate. S/he
			candidate.	maintains a professional
SOE Outcome: 2.3				and ethical demeanor in all
CAEP Standards: 1.1, 1.5,				technological platforms or
3.3				all media s/he uses and
InTASC Standard: 9				teaches students and/or
IEE Goal: 3				peers how to use

ISTE Educator Standards: 3c, 5b, 6b		technology safely and ethically.

Rated Item(s)	1	2	3	4
	Unacceptable	Needs Development	Proficient	Exemplary
Time management - Teacher candidate plans effectively, manages time well, submits work in a timely manner, and meets deadlines. CAEP Standard: 1.1, 3.3 InTASC Standard: 7	Teacher candidate submits work late or not at all, or misses deadlines more than twice in a semester. Planned lessons are poorly executed, with significant instructional time lost and/or wasted.	Teacher candidate submits work late or misses a deadline no more than twice in a semester. Time management of lessons are weak at the start or end of lessons, with some instructional time lost getting the lesson started or with students ending early without clear instructions of how to use any extra time.	Teacher candidate plans effectively, manages time well, submits work in a timely manner, and meets deadlines. Lesson transitions are paced efficiently, with minimal to no loss of instructional time.	Teacher candidate plans effectively, manages time well, and is proactive in meeting deadlines by turning in work early. Lesson transitions are planned and paced efficiently, maximizing instructional time. S/he assists peers in organizing and managing time.
Self-Control - Teacher candidate displays composure and self-control and demonstrates the capacity to handle stress. CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3 InTASC Standard: 9	Teacher candidate has an outburst of anger, walks out of the class/meeting/school in anger or frustration, or displays behaviors indicative of inability to handle stress.	Teacher candidate discusses frustration and stress in front of students or demeans students, mentor, school, university, or colleagues.	Teacher candidate displays composure and self-control and demonstrates the capacity to handle stress. S/he may discuss frustration or struggles with mentor.	Teacher candidate displays composure and self-control and demonstrates the capacity to handle stress in a productive manner, and may discuss frustration or struggles with mentor. S/he is quick to listen and look for a positive solution and does not react rashly. S/he assists peers in managing the stress that comes with teaching.

Rated Item(s)	1	2	3	4
	Unacceptable	Needs Development	Proficient	Exemplary
Professional Appearance -	Teacher candidate violates	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate dresses
Teacher candidate dresses	the school dress code more	breaks school dress code	dresses according to	according to school policy
according to school policy and	than twice in a semester or	policy no more than	school policy and	and presents him/herself
presents him/herself in a	after being reprimanded.	twice in a semester; for	presents him/herself in	in a professional manner,
professional manner.	S/he is unkempt, wears t-	example, candidate may	a professional manner.	and is considered a role
	shirts or clothes that support	wear a skirt, dress, or		model for professional
CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3	inappropriate content for the	shirt that is too short;		appearance and
	school setting (e.g. messages	wrinkled clothes; shoes		presentation of self.
	of hate, drugs, sex, etc.).	not allowed in the		
		policy.		
Initiative - Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate is unable	Teacher candidate needs	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate
displays initiative, creativity,	to get started on a task, even	support in getting	displays initiative,	displays initiative,
and resourcefulness. S/he is	with support. S/he is unable	started on tasks. S/he	creativity, and	creativity, and
intrinsically motivated.	to find a solution to a	appears to be	resourcefulness. S/he	resourcefulness. S/he is
	problem, even with support.	extrinsically motivated.	is intrinsically	intrinsically motivated,
CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3	Candidate appears to lack		motivated.	voluntarily assisting
InTASC Standard: 10	motivation.			mentor teacher.
				Candidate helps peers
				think creatively and
				involves them in resolving
				issues and becoming more
				resourcefulness in finding
				solutions.

R3.3 (GaPSC 3.5) Content Knowledge and Competency at Completion

Professional Judgement -	Teacher candidate makes a	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate	Teacher candidate
Teacher candidate	questionable professional	makes a questionable	demonstrates	demonstrates professional
demonstrates professional	decision more than twice in a	professional decision no	professional	judgement, makes
judgement and makes	semester. S/he is	more than twice in a	judgement and	professional decisions
professional decisions	unprofessional among	semester.	makes professional	consistently, and is a role
consistently.	classmates, teachers, and		decisions	model for peers with
	mentors.		consistently.	regard to professional
CAEP Standards: 1.1, 3.3				judgement; s/he may be
InTASC Standard: 9				sought out by others for
				wise counsel/guidance.

NOTE: InTASC standards were developed by CCSSO.

Permissions

The Candidate Dispositions Performance Assessment Rubric may be used without permission within individual teacher preparation programs, but with appropriate credit accorded to the authors. The authors retain the copyright to the instrument.

Authors' Contact Information

Comfort Afolabi, Ph. D. -

Comfort.Afolabi@usg.edu Winifred

Nweke, Ph. D. -

Winifred.Nweke@clayton.edu Tasha

Perkins, Ph. D. - Tperkins@shorter.edu

Additional Content Standards: GaPSC Bio 1 - Standard 1: Content Knowledge / GaPSC Bio 3 - Standard 3: Learning Environments / GaPSC Bio 5 -Standard 5: Impact on Student Learning / GaPSC Bio 6 - Standard 6: Professional Knowledge and Skills / GaPSC Chem 1 - Standard 1: Content Knowledge / GaPSC Chem 3 - Standard 3: Learning Environments / GaPSC Chem 5 - Standard 5: Impact on Student Learning / GaPSC Chem 6 -Standard 6: Professional Knowledge and Skills / GaPSC Eng 2 - Standard 2: Content Knowledge / GaPSC Eng 3 - Standard 3: Content Pedagogy: Planning Literature and Reading Inst ELA / GaPSC Eng 4 - Standard 4: Content Pedagogy: Planning Composition Instruction in ELA / GaPSC Eng 6 -Standard 6: Professional Knowledge and Skills / GaPSC Math 1 - Standard 1: Content Knowledge / GaPSC Math 2 - Standard 2: Mathematical Practices / GaPSC Math 4 - Standard 4: Mathematical Learning Environment / GaPSC Math 5 - Standard 5: Impact on Student Learning / GaPSC Math 6 -Standard 6: Professional Knowledge and Skills / Ga PSC SPED 1 - Standard 1: Learner and Learning: Learner Development and Individual / GaPSC SPED 2 - Standard 2: Learning Environments / GaPSC SPED 3 - Standard 3: Curricular Content Knowledge / GaPSC SPED 4 - Standard 4: Assessment / GaPSC SPED 5 - Standard 5: Instructional Planning and Strategies / GaPSC SPED 6 - Standard 6: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice / GaPSC SPED 7 - Standard 7: Collaboration / ISTE Edu 3.c - Empowered Professional: 3.c Citizen / ISTE Edu 5.b - Learning Catalyst: 5.b Designer / ISTE Edu 6.b - Learning Catalyst: 6.b Facilitator / GaPSC Hist 1 - Standard 1 Content Knowledge: / GaPSC Hist 3 - Standard 3 Design and Implementation of Instruction and Assessment: / GaPSC Hist 4 - Standard 4: Social Studies Learners and Learning: / GaPSC Hist 5 - Standard 5 Professional Responsibility and Informed Action: / GaPSC Pols 1 - Standard 1 Content Knowledge: / GaPSC Pols 3 - Standard 3 Design and Implementation of Instruction and Assessment: / GaPSC Pols 4 - Standard 4: Social Studies Learners and Learning: / GaPSC Pols 5 - Standard 5 Professional Responsibility and Informed Action: / ELED 2 - Standard 2: Building Family and Community Relationships / ELED 3 - Std 3: Observing, Documenting, & Assessing Support Children/Families / ELED 4 - Standard 4: Using Developmentally Effective Approaches / ELED 5 - Standard 5: Using Content Knowledge to Build Meaningful Curriculum / ELED 6 - Standard 6: Becoming a Professional / GaPSC MGED 1 - Standard 1: Middle Grades Core / GaPSC MGED 4 - Standard 4: Language Arts Concentration / GaPSC MGED 5 – Standard 5: Mathematics Concentration / GaPSC MGED 6 - Standard 6: Science Concentration / GaPSC MGED 7 - Standard 7: Social Studies Concentration / GaPSC READ 1 - Standard 1: Standard Foundational Knowledge / GaPSC READ 2 - Standard 2: Curriculum and Instruction. / GaPSC READ 3 - Standard 3: Assessment and Evaluation / GaPSC READ 4 - Standard 4: Diversity and Equity / GaPSC READ 5 - Standard 5: Learners and The Literacy Environment / GaPSC READ 6 - Standard 6: Professional Learning and Leadership

Candidate Assessment of Performance Standards (CAPS) Rubric Adopted fall 2017

	Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Development	Ineffective
Standard 1: Professional	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate
Knowledge	continually demonstrates	consistently	inconsistently	inadequately
	extensive content and	demonstrates an	demonstrates	demonstrates
SOE Outcome: 2.1	pedagogical knowledge,	understanding of the	understanding of	understanding of
CAEP Standards: 1.1, 1.3,	enriches the curriculum, and	curriculum, subject	curriculum, subject	curriculum, subject
1.4	guides others in enriching the	content, pedagogical	content, pedagogical	content, pedagogical
InTASC Standard: 4	curriculum. (Teacher candidates	knowledge, and the	knowledge, and student	knowledge and student
	rated as Exemplary continually	needs of students by	needs, or lacks fluidity in	needs, or does not use
The teacher candidate	seek ways to serve as role	providing relevant	using the knowledge in	the knowledge in
demonstrates an	models or teacher leaders.)	learning experiences.	practice.	practice.
understanding of the				
curriculum, subject				
content, pedagogical				
knowledge, and the				
needs of students by				
providing relevant				
learning experiences.				
Standard 2: Instructional	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate
Planning	continually seeks and uses	consistently plans using	inconsistently uses state	does not plan, or plans
	multiple data and real-world	state and local school	and local school district	without adequately
CAEP Standards: 1.1, 1.3,	resources to plan differentiated	district curricula and	curricula and standards,	using state and local
1.4, 1.5	instruction to meet the	standards, effective	or inconsistently uses	school district curricula
InTASC Standard: 7	individual student needs and	strategies, resources,	effective strategies,	and standards, or
	interests in order to promote	and data to address the	resources, or data in	without using effective
The teacher candidate	student accountability and	differentiated needs of	planning to meet the	strategies, resources, or
plans using state and	engagement. (Teacher	all students.	needs of all students.	

local school district curricula and standards, effective strategies, resources, and data to address the needs of all students.	candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)			data to meet the needs of all students.
Standard 3: Instructional Strategies SOE Outcome: 2.2	The teacher candidate continually facilitates students' engagement in metacognitive learning, higher-order thinking	The teacher candidate consistently promotes student learning by using research-based	The teacher candidate inconsistently uses research-based instructional strategies.	The teacher candidate does not use research-based instructional strategies, nor are the
CAEP Standard: 1.1	skills, and application of	instructional strategies	The strategies used are	instructional strategies
InTASC Standard: 8	learning in current and relevant	relevant to the content	sometimes not	relevant to the content
IEE Goal: 2	ways. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek	to engage students in active learning, and to	appropriate for the content area or for	area. The strategies do not engage students in
The teacher candidate	ways to serve as role models or	facilitate the students'	engaging students in	active learning or
promotes student learning by using	teacher leaders.)	acquisition of key skills.	active learning or for the acquisition of key skills.	acquisition of key skills.
research-based			acquisition of key skills.	
instructional strategies				
relevant to the content to				
engage students in active				
learning and to facilitate				
the students' acquisition				
of key knowledge and				
skills.				

	Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Development	Ineffective
Standard 4:	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate
Differentiated Instruction	continually facilitates each	consistently challenges	inconsistently challenges	does not challenge
	student's opportunities to learn	and supports each	students by providing	students by providing
SOE Outcome: 5.1	by engaging him/her in critical	student's learning by	appropriate content or	appropriate content
CAEP Standard: 1.4	and creative thinking and	providing appropriate	by developing skills	or by developing skills
InTASC Standard: 2	challenging activities tailored to	content and developing	which address individual	which address
	address individual learning needs	skills which address	learning differences.	individual learning
The teacher candidate	and interests. (Teacher	individual learning		differences.
challenges and supports	candidates rated as Exemplary	differences.		
each student's learning by	continually seek ways to serve as			
providing appropriate	role models or teacher leaders.)			
content and developing				
skills which address				
individual learning				
differences.				
Standard 5: Assessment	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate
Strategies	continually demonstrates	systematically and	inconsistently chooses a	chooses an
	expertise and leads others to	consistently chooses a	variety of diagnostic,	inadequate variety of
SOE Outcome: 3.1	determine and develop a variety	variety of diagnostic,	formative, and	diagnostic, formative,
CAEP Standard: 1.2	of strategies and instruments	formative, and summative	summative assessment	and summative
InTASC Standard: 6	that are valid and appropriate	assessment strategies and	strategies or the	assessment strategies
	for the content and student	instruments that are valid	instruments are	or the instruments
	population and guides students	and appropriate for the	sometimes not	are not appropriate
The teacher candidate	to monitor and reflect on their	content and student	appropriate for the	for the content or
systematically chooses a	own academic progress.	population.	content or student	student population.
variety of diagnostic,	(Teacher candidates rated as		population.	
formative, and summative	Exemplary continually seek ways			
assessment strategies and	to serve as role models or			
instruments that are valid	teacher leaders.)			

and appropriate for the content and student population.				
Standard 6: Assessment	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate
Uses	continually demonstrates	systematically and	inconsistently gathers,	does not gather,
	expertise in using data to	consistently gathers,	analyzes, or uses	analyze, or use
SOE Standards: 3.2, 3.3	measure student progress and	analyzes, and uses	relevant data to measure	relevant data to
CAEP Standard: 1.2	leads others in the effective use	relevant data to measure	student progress,	measure student
InTASC Standard: 6	of data to inform instructional	student progress, to	inconsistently uses data	progress, to inform
IEE Goal: 8	decisions.	inform instructional	to inform instructional	instructional content
	(Teacher candidates rated as	content and delivery	content and delivery	and delivery methods,
	Exemplary continually seek ways	methods, and to provide	methods, or	or to provide
The teacher candidate	to serve as role models or	timely and constructive	inconsistently provides	feedback in a
systematically gathers,	teacher leaders.)	feedback to both students	timely or constructive	constructive or timely
analyzes, and uses		and parents.	feedback.	manner.
relevant data to measure				
student progress, to				
inform instructional				
content and delivery				
methods, and to provide				
timely and constructive				
feedback to both students				
and parents.				

	Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Development	Ineffective
Standard 7: Positive	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate
Learning Environment	continually engages students in	consistently provides a	inconsistently provides	inadequately addresses
	a collaborative and self-	well-managed, safe, and	a well-managed, safe,	student behavior, displays a
SOE Outcomes: 1.2, 2.4	directed learning environment	orderly environment that	and orderly	negative attitude toward
CAEP Standard: 1.1	where students are	is conducive to learning	environment that is	students, ignores safety
InTASC Standard: 3	encouraged to take risks and	and encourages respect	conducive to learning	standards, or does not
IEE Goal: 4	ownership of their own	for all.	and encourages respect	otherwise provide an
	learning behavior. (Teacher		for all.	orderly environment that is
The teacher candidate	candidates rated as Exemplary			conducive to learning or
provides a well-	continually seek ways to serve			encourages respect for all.
managed, safe, and	as role models or teacher			
orderly environment that	leaders.)			
is conducive to learning				
and encourages respect				
for all.				
Standard 8:	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate does
Academically	continually creates an	consistently creates a	inconsistently provides	not provide a student-
Challenging	academic learning	student-centered,	a student-centered,	centered, academic
Environment	environment where students	academic environment in	academic environment	environment in which
	are encouraged to set	which teaching and	in which teaching and	teaching and learning occur
SOE Outcome: 2.4	challenging learning goals and	learning occur at high	learning occur at high	at high levels or where
CAEP Standard: 1.4	tackle challenging materials.	levels and students are	levels or where	students are self-directed
InTASC Standard: 3, 5	(Teacher candidates rated as	self-directed learners.	students are self-	learners.
	Exemplary continually seek		directed learners.	
The teacher candidate	ways to serve as role models or			
creates a student-	teacher leaders.)			
centered, academic				
environment in which				
teaching and learning				
occur at high levels and				

students are self-				
directed learners.				
Standard 9:	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate
Professionalism	continually engages in a high	consistently exhibits a	inconsistently supports	shows a disregard toward
	level of professional growth	commitment to	the school's mission or	professional ethics or the
CAEP Standard: 1.2, 3.3	and application of skills and	professional ethics and	seldom participates in	school's mission or rarely
InTASC Standard: 9, 10	contributes to the	the school's mission,	professional growth	takes advantage of
	development of others and the	participates in	opportunities.	professional growth
The teacher candidate	well-being of the school and	professional growth		opportunities.
exhibits a commitment	community. (Teacher	opportunities to support		
to professional ethics	candidates rated as Exemplary	student learning, and		
and the school's mission,	continually seek ways to serve	contributes to the		
participates in	as role models or teacher	profession.		
professional	leaders.)			
growth opportunities to				
support student				
learning, and contributes				
to the profession.				
Standard 10:	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate	The teacher candidate
Communication	continually uses	communicates	inconsistently	inadequately
	communication techniques in a	effectively and	communicates with	communicates with
SOE Outcome: 4.2	variety of situations to	consistently with	students, parents or	students, parents or
CAEP Standards: 1.5, 3.3	proactively inform, network,	students, parents or	guardians, district and	guardians, district and
InTASC Standards: 9, 10	and collaborate with	guardians, district and	school personnel, or	school personnel, or other
IEE Goals: 1, 5	stakeholders to enhance	school personnel, and	other stakeholders or	stakeholders by poorly
	student learning. (Teacher	other stakeholders in	communicates in ways	acknowledging concerns,
The teacher candidate	candidates rated as Exemplary	ways that enhance	that only partially	responding to inquiries, or
communicates effectively	continually seek ways to serve	student learning.	enhance student	encouraging involvement.
with students, parents or	as role models or teacher		learning.	
guardians, district and	leaders.)			
school personnel, and				

other stakeholders in		
ways that enhance		
student learning.		

Additional Content Standards: GaPSC Bio 1 - Standard 1: Content Knowledge / GaPSC Bio 2 - Standard 2: Content Pedagogy / GaPSC Bio 3 - Standard 3: Learning Environments / GaPSC Bio 4 - Standard 4: Safety / GaPSC Bio 5 - Standard 5: Impact on Student Learning / GaPSC Bio 6 - Standard 6: Professional Knowledge and Skills / GaPSC Chem 1 - Standard 1: Content Knowledge / GaPSC Chem 2 - Standard 2: Content Pedagogy / GaPSC Chem 3 - Standard 3: Learning Environments / GaPSC Chem 4 - Standard 4: Safety / GaPSC Chem 5 - Standard 5: Impact on Student Learning / GaPSC Chem 6 - Standard 6: Professional Knowledge and Skills / GaPSC Eng 2 - Standard 2: Content Knowledge / GaPSC Eng 3 - Standard 3: Content Pedagogy: Planning Literature and Reading Inst ELA / GaPSC Eng 4 - Standard 4: Content Pedagogy: Planning Composition Instruction in ELA / GaPSC Eng 5 -Standard 5: Learners and Learning: Implementing English Language Arts / GaPSC Math 1 - Standard 1: Content Knowledge / GaPSC Math 2 - Standard 2: Mathematical Practices / GaPSC Math 3 - Standard 3: Content Pedagogy / GaPSC Math 4 - Standard 4: Mathematical Learning Environment / GaPSC Math 5 - Standard 5: Impact on Student Learning / GaPSC Math 6 - Standard 6: Professional Knowledge and Skills / GaPSC Math 7 - Standard 7: Secondary Mathematics Field Experiences and Clinical Prac / Ga PSC SPED 1 - Standard 1: Learner and Learning: Learner Development and Individual / GaPSC SPED 2 - Standard 2: Learning Environments / GaPSC SPED 3 - Standard 3: Curricular Content Knowledge / GaPSC SPED 4 - Standard 4: Assessment / GaPSC SPED 5 - Standard 5: Instructional Planning and Strategies / GaPSC SPED 6 - Standard 6: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice / GaPSC SPED 7 - Standard 7: Collaboration / ESOL 1 - Standard 1: Language as a System / ESOL 2 - Standard 2: Culture / ESOL 3 - Standard 3: Planning, Implementing, and Managing Instruction / ESOL 5 - Standard 5: Professionalism / GaPSC Hist 1 - Standard 1 Content Knowledge: / GaPSC Hist 2 - Standard 2 Application of Content Through Planning: / GaPSC Hist 3 - Standard 3 Design and Implementation of Instruction and Assessment: / GaPSC Hist 4 - Standard 4: Social Studies Learners and Learning: / GaPSC Hist 5 - Standard 5 Professional Responsibility and Informed Action: / GaPSC Pols 1 - Standard 1 Content Knowledge: / GaPSC Pols 2 - Standard 2 Application of Content Through Planning: / GaPSC Pols 3 - Standard 3 Design and Implementation of Instruction and Assessment: / GaPSC Pols 4 - Standard 4: Social Studies Learners and Learning: / GaPSC Pols 5 -Standard 5 Professional Responsibility and Informed Action: / ELED 1 - Standard 1: Promoting Child Development and Learning / ELED 2 - Standard 2: Building Family and Community Relationships / ELED 3 - Std 3: Observing, Documenting, & Assessing Support Children/Families / ELED 4 - Standard 4: Using Developmentally Effective Approaches / ELED 5 - Standard 5: Using Content Knowledge to Build Meaningful Curriculum / ELED 6 - Standard 6: Becoming a Professional / ELED 7 - Standard 7: Elementary Education Field Experiences / GaPSC MGED 1 - Standard 1: Middle Grades Core / GaPSC MGED 4 - Standard 4: Language Arts Concentration / GaPSC MGED 5 - Standard 5: Mathematics Concentration / GaPSC MGED 6 - Standard 6: Science Concentration / GaPSC READ 2 - Standard 2: Curriculum and Instruction. / GaPSC READ 3 - Standard 3: Assessment and Evaluation / GaPSC READ 4 - Standard 4: Diversity and Equity / GaPSC READ 5 - Standard 5: Learners and The Literacy Environment GaPSC READ 6 - Standard 6: Professional Learning and Leadership

Table 5- Relia	bility and Validity Information
Test	Information about each Assessment
GACE:	This is a proprietary assessment, scored outside of GGC by ETS. Assessment Task for Instruction: Candidates are prepared through their coursework. How Candidates Are Prepared/Instructions: GACE content is aligned to specific courses across all programs. See alignment maps in Standard 1 for all programs. Validity and reliability: Validity and reliability are established by ETS.
CDPA:	The CDPA is used by field and clinical faculty supervisors and mentor teachers to assess the professional dispositions exhibited by teacher candidates during mid-term and final reporting periods. How Candidates Are Prepared/Instructions:_The CDPA is reviewed during all field-based courses in the program. Candidates also complete the assessment during midterm as a self-assessment. Validity: To establish content validity, Lawshe's (1975) method was employed. © 2017 Comfort Afolabi; Winifred Nweke; Tasha Perkins ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. Reliability: Internal consistency reliability was established (0.96). © 2017 Comfort Afolabi; Winifred Nweke; Tasha Perkins ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. Training and inter-rater reliability was established on 5.16.18 and continued on 8.15.18. Thirty-four faculty were trained each time with Intra-class Correlation Average Measures of 0.771 and 0.843, respectively. Training and inter-rater reliability for mentor teachers was established on 7.19.16. Thirteen mentor teachers were trained, and the Intra-class Correlation Average Measures were 0.717. Inter-rater reliability for 27 faculty was repeated on 10.2.19, and the Intra-class Correlation Average Measures were 0.798. Instrument training and inter-rater reliability will be completed with faculty and mentor teachers each academic year. An ideal Intra-class Correlation Average Measures is 0.8. We will continue to train faculty and mentor teachers to work toward this goal each year.
CAPS:	CAPS is used by all Field and Clinical Faculty Supervisors and local school mentor teachers throughout all four semesters of the program. Faculty supervisors submit formative feedback on CAPS throughout the semester during their lesson observations and then submit a final assessment on CAPS at the semester's end. Mentor teachers submit CAPS evaluation data at mid-term and end-of-term each semester. First-semester candidates are evaluated on six of the ten standards, second-semester candidates are evaluated on seven of the ten standards, and third and fourth-semester candidates are evaluated on all ten standards.

Candidates Are Prepared/Instructions:_Candidates are introduced to CAPS at the beginning of their Teacher Education Program. The teacher candidates use CAPS as a formative evaluation tool to self-assess and receive feedback in their first year in the program. Course work is linked to CAPS throughout the program to familiarize the candidates with the CAPS content.

Validity: Face validity, content validity, criterion-related validity, construct validity, and faith validity established by the University of Georgia by Tracy Elder, Atakan Ata, and Stephen E. Cramer 2016.

Reliability: A Cronbach's alpha of 0.898 was established by the University of Georgia by Tracy Elder, Atakan Ata, and Stephen E. Cramer 2016. Training and inter-rater reliability for the SOE for GGC was established on 8.15.18. Thirty-five faculty were trained, and the Intra-class Correlation Average Measures were 0.804. Training and inter-rater reliability for mentor teachers was established on 7.19.16. Sixteen mentor teachers were trained, and the Intra-class Correlation Average Measures were 0.672. Inter-rater reliability for 29 faculty was repeated on 10.2.19, and the Intra-class Correlation Average Measures were 0.762. Instrument training and inter-rater reliability will be completed with faculty and mentor teachers each academic year. An ideal Intra-class Correlation Average Measures is 0.8. We will continue to train faculty and mentor teachers to work toward this goal each year.

EdTPA:

The teacher candidates are given directions for the edTPA during their student teaching year. The teacher candidates complete the assessment during the final semester of year-long student teaching. The EdTPA is scored by Pearson.

How Candidates Are Prepared/Instructions:_Candidates are prepared during each semester. They are introduced to academic language, instructional planning, instructional strategies, and assessment throughout their coursework in year one and year two. The teacher candidates practice the various skills in all semesters and then complete the final portfolio in the second semester of student teaching.

We annually train faculty on the edTPA by providing a portion of the Local Evaluation training which introduces the handbook, rubrics, and requirements required for submission to Pearson.

Validity and reliability: Validity and reliability are established by Pearson.

Analysis and Interpretation:

Candidate performance data, disaggregated by program and CAEP Standard One elements, can be found within Standard One Evidence Set. However, the following findings are re-presented to summarize performance relative to **CAEP Standard 3.5**. Please see table six below discussing the disaggregated EPP Data Set results from 2018-2021.

Table 6: Key Assessment Data Analysis			
Key Assessment	Data Analysis		
GACE Content I and II Pass Rates See Standard 1, Evidence Set 2	After reviewing the data, you will find during 2018-2019, 100% of our year two completers passed the GACE Content Exams in their program of study, with 97% of students passing from 2019-2020, and finally 2020-2021, the SOE had a pass rate of 98%. The passing rates demonstrate the success of each program of study at GGC in teacher education. The SOE has worked diligently with the School of Science and Technology and the School of Liberal Arts to ensure that all of our coursework is aligned to the appropriate state and national standards from K-12 learning environments. The high success rate demonstrates our collaboration with all teaching certification programs in other schools on campus and demonstrates our course's close alignment with the necessary standards. This can be seen in all of our Program alignment charts. Our assessment manual speaks to this as well.		
	If you look at the GACE data disaggregated by program, you will notice that all students performed well overall on the assessment; however, in some cases where we had smaller N's, it looks as though our students didn't perform well is not the case. Most of our scores are above the state in all programs, including Secondary Mathematics. Those scores are low nationally on all Secondary Mathematics, including Praxis, edTPA Portfolio, etc. We exceed others if we score above the state scores on the GACE in most areas. However, we will continue to review all GACE Content Assessment data scores to make sure we maintain them over the next few academic years.		
edTPA Pass Rates See Standard 1, Evidence Set 2	The edTPA has two scales for measurement. The first is an 18-rubric assessment, titled: Elementary Education of Literacy & Mathematics. The second is a 15-rubric assessment, referring to all Special Education and our secondary Teacher Certification Programs (TCP) content-specific edTPA assessments. Therefore, I will share the edTPA data summary by programs versus Educator Preparation Unit of Analysis.		
	After reviewing the data for Elementary Education, you will find that for years 2018-2019, we had a 100% pass rate on the edTPA. The mean was 57 out of 90 total points for all year two completers, with 100% of students meeting the passing score of 48 by the time they completed their program of study. In the 2019-2020 year, the mean was 55 out of		

90 points, with 100% meeting proficiency, and the mean for the 2020-2021 academic year was 51 out of 90 with 92% meeting proficiency. The drop in the edTPA data is due to the state dropping the assessment requirement. Our teacher candidates were still required to take the assessment even though it was not state-mandated. This decision allowed us to complete our three-year cycle of data for accreditation. However, 92% or greater met a passing score all three years. This assessment allows us to see how well our students understand the teaching cycle and perform planning, instruction, and assessment in a classroom setting with students. Looking at the rubric data, we know all students were successful but struggled the most with rubrics ten: analyzing teaching effectiveness and fourteen: analyzing students' language use and content learning. They were most successful with task 1 planning. The data supports that student teachers were successful in clinical experience due to these reports.

All other programs at GGC are 15 rubric assessments. In 2018-2019 the student teachers were found to be successful in their programs of study as well. Overall, the mean for all other programs was 47 out of 75 total points for all year two completers, with 100% of students meeting the passing score of 38 by the time they completed their program of study. In the 2019-2020 year, the mean was 46 out of 75 points, with 100% meeting proficiency, and the mean for the 2020-2021 academic year was 41 out of 75 with 95% meeting proficiency. The drop in the edTPA data is due to the state dropping the assessment requirement. Our teacher candidates were still required to take the assessment even though it was not state-mandated. This decision allowed us to complete our three-year cycle of data for accreditation. However, 95% or greater met a passing score all three years in all programs with 15 rubrics.

Looking at the edTPA data disaggregated by program, all students performed well overall on the assessment. The students were required to meet the minimum score on all 15 or 18 rubrics to graduate at GGC from the School of Education. Ninety-two percent or greater achieved success in all programs. The rubrics the students struggled with Unitwide were rubrics ten: analyzing teaching effectiveness and fourteen: analyzing students' language use and content learning. The students were proficient on all other rubrics on the edTPA. We will continue to evaluate our students on analyzing teaching effectiveness and academic language even though we discontinued using this key unit assessment for the 2021-2022 school year.

CDPA Data Link to Standard 1, Evidence Set 2

After reviewing the data, you will find that for the year 2018-2019, the mean was 81 out of 96 total points for all year two completers, with 98% of students meeting the required score of three (proficient) by the time they completed their program of study. In the 2019-2020 year, the mean was 82 out of 96 points, with 97% meeting proficiency, and the mean for the 2020-2021 academic year was 81 out of 96, with 98% meeting proficiency. Therefore, we know all students were successful in their teaching observations and clinical experience due to these reports.

Looking at the CDPA data disaggregated by program, all students performed well in all rubric indicators throughout the program from 1st to 4th semester. The students meeting proficiency on the CAPS instrument were 70% or above from 2018-2021. However, in 2020-2021 the students in the 1st semester in all programs of the study demonstrated percent met rates lower than 70%. However, they increased in semesters 2-4, and all were above a 97% proficiency rate by the time they completed their program of study. We will continue to evaluate the data to ensure all students are successful on the 24 CDPA rubric indicators aligned to the INTASC standards.

CAPS Data Link to Standard 1, Evidence Set 2

After reviewing the data, you will find that for the year 2018-2019, the mean was 34 out of 40 total points for all year two completers, with 98% of students meeting the required score of three (proficient) by the time they completed their program of study. In the 2019-2020 year, the mean was 33 out of 40 points, with 97% meeting proficiency; the mean for the 2020-2021 academic year was 32 out of 40, with 97% meeting proficiency. Therefore, we know all students were successful in their teaching observations and clinical experience due to these reports.

Looking at the CAPS data disaggregated by program, you will notice that all students performed well in all rubric indicators from the 1st to 4th semester. The students meeting proficiency on the CAPS instrument were 70% or above from 2018-2020. However, in 2020-2021 the students in the 1st semester in Special Education, English, History, Biology, Chemistry, and Math demonstrated percent met rates lower than 70%. However, they increased in semesters 2-4, and all were above a 90% proficiency rate by the time they completed their program of study. We will continue to evaluate the data to ensure all students are successful on the 10 CAPS standards aligned to the INTASC standards.